

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/540,572	06/24/2005	Kazuyuki Takahashi	274081US90PCT	6431	
22850 7590 01/11/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXA	EXAMINER	
			MORILLO, JANELL COMBS		
ALEXANDRI	LEXANDRIA, VA 22314			PAPER NUMBER	
			1793		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/11/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/540,572	TAKAHASHI, KAZ	ZUYUKI			
		Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>			
		Janelle Combs-Morillo	1793				
	he MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress			
Period for R	• •						
WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (- If NO perional Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING is of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (6) MONTHS from the maiting date of this communication, and for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta received by the Office later than three months after the ma tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may od will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tute, cause the application to become	NICATION. The a reply be timely filed IONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				•			
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 24	June 2005.					
2a)∏ Thi	is action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3)☐ Sin	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims			_			
4)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
• -	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application	Papers						
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
- See	the attached detailed Office action for a	ist of the certified copies h	ot received.				
Attachment(s)	,	<u>,</u>					
	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) ło(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date		of Informal Patent Application				

10/540,572 Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-4, drawn to aluminum alloy pipe.

Group II, claim(s) 5-10, drawn to process of froming Al alloy pipe.

Group III, claim(s) 11, drawn to heat exchanger.

Group IV, claim(s) 12, 14, drawn to vehicle.

Group V, claim(s) 13, drawn to refrigeration cycle.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the inventive feature that links said groups, the aluminum alloy, is known (see search report, JP 2000-119784).
- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

10/540,572

Art Unit: 1793

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janelle Combs-Morillo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1240. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am- 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/540,572 Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ROY KING

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

JCM 1/4/2008